UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/657,716 | 09/09/2003 | Holger Hoffmann | 1454.1483 | 8738 |
| 21171 STAAS & HAL | 7590 05/28/200 SEY LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 700 | DV AVENUE NIW | DICKERSON, CHAD S | | |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/28/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/657,716 | HOFFMANN, HOLGER | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | CHAD DICKERSON | 2023 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CER 4.126(a). The data of the control of time may be obtained under 27 CER 4.126(a). The data of time may be obtained under 27 CER 4.126(a). |). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount on tended statutory period for reply original contents. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| /Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | | | |
| Supervisory Faterit Examiner, Art Offit 2023 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Amendment to the claims has changed the claim scope and the new scope would require further consideration and/or search.